

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	0.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/553,423		04/19/2000	STUART A FRASER	CF/002	3987
1473	7590	06/18/2004		EXAMINER	
FISH & 1		_	DASS, HARISH T		
1251 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS 50TH FLOOR				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW YORK, NY 10020-1105				3628	
				DATE MAILED: 06/18/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \							
) '	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/553,423	FRASER ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Harish T Dass	3628					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 Fe	ebruary 2004.						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 38-42 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) 4-37 is/are withdrawn 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 38-42 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	n from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		• •					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	nte					
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11. S. Patent and Trademark Office	6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/553,423 Page 2

Art Unit: 3628

DETAILED ACTION

1. Original claims 2-3 are canceled.

2. Claims 4-37 are withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 38-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over May (US 6,317,727).

Re. Claim 1, May discloses applying a host qualification test to the participant, assigning qualification trading parameters to the participant based upon the host qualification test and the third-party qualification test and determining whether the party qualifies to trade in the electronic trading system [see the entire document particularly: Abs; figures 1-32; C6 L12-L62; C54 L63 to C55 L20]. May, explicitly, does not disclose applying a third-party qualification test to the participant. However, third-party qualification test is commonly done in real estate deals where the buyer is qualified by the underwriter (third party) for mortgage and the seller is qualified by the title search company (third party) for proper owner of the property and any out standing issues against the property. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify disclosure of May and add third party qualification to verify the seller for owning the property without

•

Application/Control Number: 09/553,423

Art Unit: 3628

outstanding issues and buyers having proper down payment, ability to pay mortgage, for an amount he/she is applying and interest rate and years, for yet to purchase property. Further, when the buyer is qualified for a mortgage loan it does not need to apply again.

Re. Claim 38, May, explicitly, does not disclose bypassing the third party qualification test when the participant passes the host qualification test. However, this step is common in real estate where the third party qualification is bypassed when the buyer pays substantial amount of down payment. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify disclosure of May and add bypassing third party qualification to make the qualification easy for the buyer because the buyer down payment is enough to take the risk.

Re. Claim 39, May discloses a measure of a number of trades made by the participant, and a measure of an amount of trades made by the participant, and a measure of volatility in a market in which the participant is participating, and a measure of a range of prices in the market in which the participant is participating, and a measure of volume in the market in which the participant is participating [Abs; C1 L50 to C2 L65].

Re. Claim 40, May discloses applying to the participant a qualification test that evaluates whether the participant qualifies to trade with a second participant that is qualified to trade with a third participant, and determining that the participant qualifies to

participant qualifying to trade with the second participant (by the other) [C56 L54-60].

Further, in currency trade only larger banks and financial institutions are able to do

trade in the electronic trading system with the third participant based upon the

cross boarder currency trade because of money laundering and regulations, smaller

banks have to trade through larger banks whom qualifies them to buy and sell foreign

currency to end parties as an agent or third party.

Re. Claim 41-42, are rejected with same rational as claim 1.

Response to Arguments

4 Applicant's arguments with respect to pending claims have been considered but

are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Harish T Dass whose telephone number is 703-305-

4694. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 AM to 4:50 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Hyung S Sough can be reached on 703-308-0505. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-

1113.

Harish T Dass Examiner Art Unit 3628

Page 4

Au >628